KINETIC STUDIES ON THE THERMAL DISSOCIATION OF β-CYCLODEXTRIN-CINNAMYL ALCOHOL INCLUSION COMPLEX

Shou-Zhi Yu, Xiao-Tao Li, Jing-Hua Li^{*}, Jin-Yun Wang¹ and Sheng-Jun Tian

Department of Chemistry, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453002, P. R. China ¹Department of Chemistry, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06268, USA

Abstract

The stability of β -cyclodextrin-cinnamyl alcohol inclusion complex (β -CD C₉H₁₀·8H₂O) was investigated using TG and DSC. The mass loss took place in three stages: the dehydration occurred between 50–120°C; the dissociation of β -CD C₉H₁₀O occurred in the range of 210–260°C; and the decomposition of β -CD began at 280°C. The dissociation of β -CD C₉H₁₀O was studied by means of thermogravimetry, and the results showed: the dissociation of β -CD C₉H₁₀O was dominated by a two-dimensional diffusion process (D₂). The activation energy *E* was 161.2 kJ mol⁻¹, the pre-exponential factor *A* was 4.5×10¹³ min⁻¹.

Cyclodextrin is able to form inclusion complexes with a great variety of guest molecules, and the interesting of studies focussed on the energy binding cyclodextrin and the guest molecule.

In this paper, β -cyclodextrin-cinnamyl alcohol inclusion complex was studied by fluorescence spectrophotometry and infrared absorption spectroscopy, and the results show: the stable energy of inclusion complexes of β -CD with weakly polar guest molecules consists mainly of Van der Waals interaction.

Keywords: B-cyclodextrin, cinnamyl alcohol, kinetics, thermal analysis

Introduction

 β -cyclodextrin is an annular molecule composed of seven glucose units linked by 1,4-glucosidic bonds. Due to its annular structure, as a host molecule it is able to form inclusion complexes with a great variety of guest molecules [1]. The formation of inclusion complexes can change the state and the stability of the guest molecules, so the inclusion complexes of β -CD with many organic compounds have been successfully utilized in the pharmaceutical and food industries [2, 3]. Cinnamyl alcohol is usually utilized as a flavourant in cosmetics and toilet soap. However, when the cinnamyl alcohol is stored in air, it will gradually oxidize to cinnamic aldehyde. Once cinnamyl alcohol forms inclusion complexes with β -CD, its stability to air can

^{*} Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed.

be greatly improved. In this paper, the kinetics of the dissociation of cinnamyl alcohol β -CD complex were investigated in solid state.

Experimental

Preparation of the sample

 β -CD (purchased from Suzhou Gourmet Powder Factory) and cinnamyl alcohol (Analytical reagent) were dissolved in 1:2 molar ratio at 70°C in water. It was stirred for 1.5 h at 70°C and then filtered. The pale yellow crystals were dried for one week at room temperature in a desiccator, and sieved to less than 320 mesh. The composition of the inclusion complex formed was identified by TG analysis and elemental analysis as β -CD·C₉H₁₀O·8H₂O.

Apparatus and measurements

Thermogravimetric analysis and differential scanning calorimetry were performed on a ZRY-1P multiple microbalance (Shanghai Balancing Instruments Factory). The experimental conditions were: sample mass, about 1.8 mg; atmosphere, dynamic dried nitrogen 40 cm³ min⁻¹; sample holder, 5 mm×4 mm aluminium crucible.

All the thermogravimetric data were analysed on an AT-386 computer.

Results and discussion

The stability of the inclusion complexes

Figure 1 shows the TG and DSC curves of β -CD·C₉H₁₀O·8H₂O.

The TG curve of β -CD·C₉H₁₀O·8H₂O has a 9.93% mass loss between 50–120°C as well as a 10.57% mas loss between 210–260°C, and a large mass loss over 280°C. The three stages correspond respectively to the dehydration, the escape of cinnamyl alcohol from the inclusion complex and the decomposition of β -CD. The DSC curve has two small endothermic peaks between 50–120°C. These indicate that eight water molecules exist with different binding force, and the energy required for dehydration of the internal and external water molecules is different. The endothermic peak in the range of 210–260°C is accompanied with the mass loss of the TG curve, and corresponds to the evaporation of cinnamyl alcohol from the β -



Fig. 1 TG and DSC curves of β-CD C₉H₁₀O 8H₂O

CD cavity. And this low endothermic peak indicates that the interaction between β -CD and cinnamyl alcohol is the weak intermolecule force.

The identification of the kinetic mechanism

According to the thermal analysis results, the dissociation of β -CD-C₉H₁₀O-8H₂O takes place in three steps:

- 1. β -CD·C₉H₁₀O·8H₂O(s) $\rightarrow \beta$ -CD·C₉H₁₀O(s)+8H₂O(g)
- 2. β -CD·C₉H₁₀O(s) $\rightarrow \beta$ -CD(s)+C₉H₁₀O(g)
- 3. the decomposition of β -CD

We have identified the kinetic mechanism of the second stage. An isothermal method was adopted: the temperature was raised to 120° C at 5 K min⁻¹, and kept at a constant temperature for 20 min in order for the dehydration to be complete. Then the temperature was rapidly raised to 210° C at a heating rate of 80 K min⁻¹. The isothermal TG curve at 210° C was recorded. Using similar methods, the isothermal TG curves at 220, 230 and 240°C were recorded respectively. Non-isothermal method was as follows: the temperature was raised to 120° C at a heating rate of 5 K min⁻¹ and kept at a constant temperature for 20 min. The heating rate was adjusted to recorded the non-isothermal TG curves at 0.6, 1.2, 2.5, 5 K min⁻¹. A three-step judgement method was used in the identification of the mechanism of the decomposition reaction, which combined the isothermal method, linear non-isothermal method with the kinetic compensation effect [4].

Isothermal method

The TG curves of isothermal mass loss of β -CD·C₉H₁₀O are shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2 Isothermal mass loss curves of β -CD·C₉H₁₀O; 1) 210; 2) 220; 3) 230; 4) 240°C

The kinetics of a solid-state decomposition reaction can be represented by the general equation:

$$d\alpha/dt = kf(\alpha) \tag{1}$$

or
$$g(\alpha) = kt$$
 (2)

where α is the fractional mass loss at the reaction time *t* (in this paper $\alpha = 0.1 - 0.9$), *k* is the rate constant, and $f(\alpha)$ and $g(\alpha)$ are functions describing the reaction mechanism.

The kinetic mechanism of the mas loss process can be judged by the linearity of the $g(\alpha)$ vs. time t plot. Taking the dissociation of β -CD·C₉H₁₀O at 230°C as an example, Table 1 list the linear regression results of $g(\alpha)$ vs. t.

g(a)	k/\min^{-1}	r	σ	g(α)	<i>k</i> /min ⁻¹	r	σ
D ₁	1.2548×10 ⁻²	0.9973	3.6762	R ₂	8.9693×10 ⁻³	0.9885	2.7955
D ₂	1.4029×10 ⁻²	0.9986	2.5538	R ₃	7.1881×10 ⁻³	0.9914	2.0812
D ₃	4.3558×10 ⁻³	0.9967	0.8180	A ₁	3.1793×10 ⁻²	0.9956	7.8131
D ₄	2.8560×10 ⁻³	0.9984	0.6412	A ₂	1.5696×10 ⁻²	0.9893	4.9850
A _u	5.2041×10 ⁻²	0.9669	17.6075	A ₃	1.0567×10 ⁻²	0.9719	3.6371
R ₁	1.9743×10 ⁻²	0.9773	4.0542	A ₄	7.9857×10 ⁻³	0.9671	2.8583

Table 1 Linear regression results for the dissociation of β -CD-C₉H₁₀O at 230°C

In the Table, k is the rate constant, r is the correlation coefficient and σ is the covariance. From the evaluation of r and σ , D₁, D₂, D₃, D₄, R₃ and A₁ were selected as possible $g(\alpha)$ models.

According to Arrhenius equation:

$$k = A e^{-E/RT}$$
(3)

$$\ln k = \ln A - E/RT \tag{4}$$

where k is the rate constant, A is the pre-exponential factor.

The related kinetic parameters of the dissociation of β -CD·C₉H₁₀O can be obtained from a linear regression of lgk vs. 1/T, based on the isothermal experimental data at 210, 220, 230 and 240°C. The values of *E*, *A*, and *r* are listed in Table 2.

Table 2 Kinetic parameter of isothermal dissociation of β -CD·C₀H₁₀O

g(α)	$E_{\rm a}/{\rm kJ}~{\rm mol}^{-1}$	r	lgA	g(α)	$E_{\rm a}/{\rm kJ}~{\rm mol}^{-1}$	r	lgA
D ₁	154.28	0.9948	12.4034	R ₂	156.91	0.9928	13.1654
D_2	161.23	0.9957	13.6570	R ₃	158.64	0.9931	13.6928
D3	168.55	0.9968	14.0434	R ₁	163.96	0.9940	13.7274
D_4	163.21	0.9964	13.3517	A ₂	157.29	0.9917	13.3154
A _u	158.94	0.9905	13.0554	A ₃	156.83	0.9910	12.8909
\mathbf{R}_1	155.84	0.9915	12.7176	A ₄	155.17	0.9906	12.3533

Non-isothermal method

Non-isothermal TG curves of the thermal dissociation of β -CD-C₉H₁₀O at different heating rates are shown in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3 Non-isothermal TG curves of β -CD·C₉H₁₀O heating rate β (°C min⁻¹); 1) 0.6; 2) 1.2; 3) 2.5; 4) 5

At a constant heating rate:

$$d\alpha/dt = A/\beta e^{-E/RT} f(\alpha)$$
(5)

$$\int d\alpha / f(\alpha) = A / \beta \int e^{-E/RT} dT$$
⁽⁶⁾

$$g(\alpha) = AE/\beta P(x) \tag{7}$$

where $P(x) = e^{-x}/x(1-2!/x+3!/x^2-4!/x^3+...)$, x = E/RT, $\beta = dT/dt$. Usually $x = E/R \gg 1$, and taking the first two approximate values

$$g(\alpha) \approx ART^2 / \beta E(1 - 2RT/E)e^{-E/RT}$$
⁽⁸⁾

$$\log(g(\alpha)/T^{2}) = \log[AR/\beta E(1 - 2RT/E)] - E/2.303RT$$
(9)

From Eq. (9), it is clear that a straight line of slope -E/2.303R should result when plotting $\log(g(\alpha)/T^2)$ vs. 1/T; the frequency factor A can be obtained from the intercept.

Using the experimental data at different heating rates by this method, the E and A values decreased with increasing heating rate. These mainly because the sample temperature could not reach with the procedural temperature, and caused the temperature range of mass loss moved to higher region. Only when heating rate is very low the record temperature can catch up with the sample temperature. So we ex-

trapolated β to 0 K min⁻¹. Table 3 shows the results of the linear regression for the related data when extrapolating β to 0 K min⁻¹. The Table also lists the *E* and *A* values obtained with the different mechanism function.

<i>g</i> (α)	$E_{\rm s}/\rm kJ~mol^{-1}$	lgA	g(α)	$E_{\rm a}/\rm kJ~mol^{-1}$	lgA
D	143.76	17.3075	R ₂	91.69	4.3989
D ₂	162.28	21.7922	R ₃	97.01	5.1327
D ₃	180.81	25.1702	R ₁	101.57	8.9846
D_4	159.12	19.4784	A ₂	61.73	-1.1122
Au	-	-	A ₃	44.18	-5.6215
R	78.43	2.9211	A ₄	36.58	-7.7515

Table 3 Kinetic parameters of the β -CD·C₉H₁₀O thermal dissociation when β -O K min⁻¹

For the correct mechanism function, the kinetic parameters should be identical either obtained by isothermal method or by non-isothermal method. Comparing Table 2 with Table 3, and considering the appropriate E and A value, it is obvious that only D_2 and D_4 mechanisms satisfy such requirements.

Kinetic compensation effect

In the non-isothermal thermogravimetric experiments, the E and A values will decrease with increasing heating rate. This tendency can be shown by the kinetic compensation effect [3]. Table 4 list the compensation constants a and b for different mechanisms, and also the correlation of the linear regression.

g(α)	а	b	r	g(α)	а	b	r
D ₁	0.2589	-24.2307	0.9990	R ₃	0.2516	-16.4872	0.9975
D ₂	0.2587	-24.9981	0.9991	A ₁	0.2509	-15.7897	0.9936
D,	0.2505	26.8752	0.9966	A ₂	0.2549	-14.0605	0.9959
D ₄	0.2507	-26.8045	0.9912	A ₃	0.2539	-13.8158	0.9913
R ₁	0.2599	-15.7392	0.9950	A ₄	0.2564	-13.8007	0.9972
R ₂	0.2566	-15.6487	0.9989				

Table 4 The kinetic compensation constans

The kinetic compensation formulas are

$$\log A = aE + b \tag{10}$$

....

$$E = (\log A - b)/a \tag{11}$$

$$k = A e^{-(\log A - b)/aRT}$$
(12)

where a, b are the compensation constants.

According to the compensation law, the k values can be obtained for the eleven $g(\alpha)$ models at constant temperature. Taking data at 230°C as an example, Table 5 list the k values.

g(α)	<i>k</i> /min ⁻¹	g(α)	<i>k</i> /min ⁻¹	g(α)	<i>k</i> /min ⁻¹
D ₁	5.1654×10 ⁻³	R ₁	2.2404×10 ¹	A ₂	1.4635×10 ²
D_2	1. 394 1×10 ⁻²	R ₂	3.2190×10 ¹	A ₃	9.3456×10 ¹
D ₃	1.2162×10 ⁻³	R ₃	3.2511	A4	5.7980×10 ¹
D ₄	5.2766×10 ⁻⁴	A	3.2631×10 ¹		

Table 5 The k values obtained according to the compensation law $(230^{\circ}C)$

Comparing Table 1 with Table 5, it is clear that only the two k values from the D_2 mechanism are equal. It confirms that the β -CD·C₉H₁₀O thermal dissociation process follows a D_2 mechanism.

Fluorescence analysis

 β -cyclodextrin has very strong fluorescent property. The fluorescent spectra of β -CD, β -CD-cinnamyl alcohol and cinnamyl alcohol are shown are shown in Fig. 4.

In Fig. 4, by the comparison of the fluorescent spectra of β -CD and β -CD cinnamyl alcohol, it is clear that the peaks of β -CD at 500 nm and 630 nm disappear when β -CD forms inclusion complex with cinnamyl alcohol. It shows that the fluorescent properties of β -CD has changed greatly after forming inclusion complex with cinnamyl alcohol. These probably because that the cinnamyl alcohol molecule



Fig. 4 Fluorescent spectrums; 1) β-CD-cinnamyl, 2) β-CD, 3) cinnamyl alcohol

influences the structure of the glucose unit or because that new bonds have formed between β -CD and cinnamyl alcohol, as confirmed by IR spectra.

Infrared absorption method

The infrared spectrograms of β -CD and β -CD cinnamyl alcohol are shown in Figs 5 and 6.



Fig. 5 The infrared spectrogram of β -CD



Fig. 6 The infrared spectrogram of β -CD-cinnamyl alcohol

Comparing Figs 5 and 6, it is clear that the several strong absorption peaks of β -CD-cinnamyl alcohol don't move to lower wavelength. It means that, after being complexed by β -CD, new bonds do not form between cinnamyl alcohol and β -CD. This is consistent with the interior of β -CD cavity being non-polar. The inside of β -CD cavity is mainly constructed by hydrogen atoms of C(3) and C(4), so the activation energy of inclusion complexes of β -CD with weakly polar guest molecules (cinnamyl alcohol) consists mainly of Van der Waals energy.

The results of TG and DSC show that the escape of the cinnamyl alcohol molecules from the β -CD cavity is dominated by diffusion mechanism, in other words, no chemical reaction occurs during the complex process or the dissociation process. These are consistent with the results of fluorescence spectrophotometry and infrared absorption spectroscopy.

Conclusions

 β -CD can form a stable inclusion complex with cinnamyl alcohol, and the composition is β -CD·C₉H₁₀O·8H₂O. The stability of C₉H₁₀O improved greatly after being complexed by β -CD. The activation energy of inclusion complex of β -CD with cinnamyl alcohol consists mainly of Van der Waals energy. The thermal dissociation mechanism of β -CD·C₉H₁₀O is dominated by a two-dimensioned diffusion process (D₂). The activation energy (*E*) is 161.23 kJ mol⁻¹, and the pre-exponential factor (*A*) is 4.5394×10¹³ min⁻¹.

References

- 1 L. H. Tong, Huaxue Tongbao, 2 (1981) 68.
- 2 C. P. Zhang and Y. G. Zhang, Yaoxue Tongbao, 22 (1987) 101.
- 3 K. Koizumi, H. Miki and Y. Kubota, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 28 (1980) 319.
- 4 J. H. Li and G. E. Zhang, Wulihuaxue Xuebao, 8 (1992) 123.
- 5 J. J. Pysiak and B. Sabalski, J. Thermal Anal., 17 (1979) 287.
- 6 Y. B. Jang, X. Z. Huang and G. Z. Cheng, Gaodendengxuexiao Huaxue Xuebao, 12 (1991) 961.